

Fall 2021

International Honors Program

IHP Health and Community Track 2

Health, Culture, and Community

ANTH 3050 (4 credits)

PLEASE NOTE: This syllabus is representative of the anticipated Fall 2021 program. Because courses develop and change over time to take advantage of unique learning opportunities, actual course content varies from semester to semester. In addition, considerations of student/public safety may change some course content. With global public health responses rapidly unfolding, some readings and content will necessarily be added/adapted closer to the start of the semester.

Course Description

Although every society has a model of wellness and healing, there is **no universal agreement** on what these mean, much less on how to achieve “health.” How much sickness can be attributed to cultural and social forces? To what degree is sickness strictly biological? Or psychological? Are these even the “right” categories to think with?

As it turns out, many concepts central to medical practice and policy (concepts like “disease,” “illness,” “suffering,” “healing,” and “medicine”) are embedded in historical, cultural, and environmental contexts, making them tricky to deal with at local—and international—levels. To understand the complex realities of health across cultural contexts, the growing field of **Medical Anthropology** compares different cultural explanations about disease, illness, wellbeing, prevention, and healing.

On one hand, this class looks at particular, deeply engrained assumptions about medicine within **local communities**, including key assumptions about the body and how healing works (or doesn’t). On the other hand, this class also addresses how **global forces**—like issues of poverty, gender, or race—play out in the practice of international, global medicine.

Therefore, you will practice the skills necessary for reading cultural reports, developing and arguing interpretations, and evaluating medical paradigms through the comparative method. Lectures and discussions are focused as much on the diversity of medical practice as on understanding cross-cultural overlaps in “health” and “sickness.” In other words, you will compare—and critique!—fundamental

assumptions about medicine and the body, even casting our own Western knowledge about **biomedicine** as one **of many** paradigms that both inform and blind us to medical realities.

Class Format

In each program site, students will encounter—and be confronted by—different realities in which human disease, illness, and wellbeing are at stake. To tackle these complex situations, this course will be quite different from those typically taught at a university (or even in a single country!). Instead of exploring various themes stretched out over a whole semester, key topics will be reiterated cyclically *within* each program site. These **Paths of Inquiry** comprise current interests in the social science of human health, illness and (un)wellbeing, and form the backbone of our comparative approach to understanding global Health and Community.

Within each program cycle, students will tackle and compare these themes by reflecting on the unique or overlapping questions they pose with respect to: (1) local paradigms of health and body, (2) overarching relationships of world politics and economies that shape realities on the ground. As such, students will learn to interpret data and communicate ideas both **individually** through **Preliminary Site Reports** and within groups through **Seminar Discussions**.

The spirit of this course is to create an environment in which, through open discussion, we assess our basic assumptions about health in light of cultural and social realities.

Learning Outcomes

The *Health, Culture, and Community* course comprises 60 class hours of instruction (4 credits). This course aims to introduce students to the discipline of medical anthropology, and the contribution that it makes to research and practice in public health. At its conclusion, students will be able to:

- Articulate an understanding of key concepts and theoretical issues in medical anthropology, and evaluate debated terminologies and describe why and how such terms are contested.
- Compare and contrast definitions of health and ideas about how one achieves health in various societies and community settings, and better understand how diverse peoples define and deal with health and illness, suffering, health practices, and healing techniques.
- Think critically and analytically about the nature of health, disease and healing in cross-cultural realities, through an ethnographic awareness of the political and economic structures shaping sickness and suffering.
- Apply anthropological theories to the analysis of their own observations and research data gathered in diverse settings, over the course of the semester.
- Use analytical skills that will help to critically interpret and represent complex socio-cultural and ecological interactions and processes related to issues of health, illness, and medicine as we encounter them in our lives and in the world.

General Considerations

- Show up prepared. Be on time, have your readings completed and points in mind for discussion or clarification. Complying with these elements raises the level of class discussion for everyone.
- Have assignments completed on schedule, printed, and done accordingly to the specified requirements. This will help ensure that your assignments are returned in a timely manner.
- Ask questions in class. Engage the lecturer. These are often very busy professionals who are doing us an honor by coming to speak.
- Comply with academic integrity policies (no plagiarism or cheating, nothing unethical).
- Respect differences of opinion (classmates', lecturers, local constituents engaged with on the visits). You are not expected to agree with everything you hear, but you are expected to listen across difference and consider other perspectives with respect.

Grading Scale

94-100%	A	Excellent
90-93%	A-	
87-89%	B+	
84-86%	B	Above Average
80-83%	B-	
77-79%	C+	
74-76%	C	Average
70-73%	C-	
67-69%	D+	
64-66%	D	Below Average
below 64	F	Fail

Note: Where decimal points are used in grading, below 0.5 will be rounded down, while 0.5 and above will be rounded up. For example, 93.4 will be an A-, while 93.5 will be an A.



Course Schedule*

***Topics, readings, and assignment details are subject to change, as determined by faculty.**

All course readings will be available in Dropbox in electronic format at the beginning of the semester. Required readings will also be available as a hard copy at the beginning of each respective program site.

Key Concepts	Class topic	Country
Intro to the perspectives of anthropology	<p>HCC-1 What is medical anthropology?</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Baer, Hans A., Singer, Merrill, and Ida Susser. (2003). Medical Anthropology: Central Concepts and Development. In Medical Anthropology and the World System. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger, pp. 3-29. Nguyen, Vinh-Kim, and Karine Peschard (2003). Anthropology, inequality, and disease: a review. Annual Review of Anthropology 32:447-474. <p><u>Suggested reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good, J. Byron. 1994. "Illness Representations in medical anthropology: a reading of the field" in Medicine, Rationality, and Experience: An Anthropological Perspective. Cambridge University Press 	VietNam
biomed./ pluralism emic/etic The Spectrum of Knowledge	<p>HCC-2 Cultural Contexts and Medical Anthropology</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rose, N. 2007. "Beyond medicalization." In <i>The Lancet</i> pp. 700-702. Lock, Margaret and Vinh-Kim Nguyen. 2010. "How normal became Possible, When normal does not exist, Problems with assessing normal, Pathologizing the normal" in <i>An Anthropology of Biomedicine</i>. Wiley and Sons. pp. 43-53. Helman, Cecil G. (2007). Introduction: The Scope of Medical Anthropology. In <i>Culture, Health and Illness</i>. London: Hodder Arnold, pp. 1-18. <p><u>Suggested reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kleinman, Arthur, Leon Eisenberg, and Byron Good. 1978. "Culture, Illness, And Care." <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> 88(2): 251-258. 	VietNam

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kleinman, Arthur, and Peter Benson. 2006. "Anthropology in the Clinic: The Problem of Cultural Competency and How to Fix It." <i>PLoS Medicine</i> 3(10): 1673–1676. 	
Pluralism, cultural relativism, critiques of choice	<p>HCC- 3 Medical Pluralism and Health Seeking Behaviours</p> <p><u>Required reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fadiman, Anne. 1997. Chapters 1, 3, & 18. In <i>The Spirit Catches You and You Fall Down</i>. New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux, pp. 3-11, 20-31 & 263-277. • Latour B. 1993. "What does it mean to be modern? In <i>We have never been modern</i>. Harvard University Press, pp. 10-12. • Helman, C.G, 2007. CHPT 9 - Ritual and the management of misfortune. Culture, health and illness. CRC Press. <p><u>Suggested reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Helman, C.G., 2007. Ch 2 - Caring and Curing. Culture, health and illness. CRC Press. • Good, Byron (1994). How medicine constructs its objects. In <i>Medicine, Rationality, and Experience: An Anthropological Perspective</i>. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 65-87. 	VietNam
Embodiment, contextualized concepts of personhood, biopolitics, immigration	<p>HCC-4 Personhood: Worldly Bodies and Bodily Worlds</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lock, Margaret M. and Nancy Scheper-Hughes (1987) The mindful body: a prolegomenon to future work in medical anthropology. <i>Medical Anthropology Quarterly</i>, New Series 1(1): 6-41. • Lincoln, Martha. 2018. Politics by Other Means: Health in Việt Nam, Somatosphere pp. 1-11. http://somatosphere.net/2018/health-in-viet-nam.html/ • Timothy Karis (2013) Unofficial Hanoians: Migration, Native Place and Urban Citizenship in Vietnam, <i>The Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology</i>, 14:3, pp.256-273 <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charon, R, 2008. "Chapter 5 The Patient, the Body, the Self". <i>Narrative Medicine: Honoring the Stories of Illness</i>. Oxford University Press 	VietNam

<p>Body politics biopolitics - social control of bodies, disability</p>	<p>HCC- 5 The politics of the body</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gammeltoft, Tine M. 2008. Childhood disability and parental moral responsibility in northern Vietnam: towards ethnographies of intercorporeality, <i>Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute</i> 14, pp. 825-842 • Taub , Diane E. et al. 2003. Body Image among Women with Physical Disabilities: Internalization of Norms and Reactions to Nonconformity, <i>Sociological Focus</i>, Volume 36, 2003 - Issue 2. pp. 159-176. <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Michael Fitzpatrick. 2000. "Exercise" (The regulation of lifestyle) In <i>The Tyranny of Health</i> Routledge, pp. 50-54. • Lock, Margaret and Vinh-Kim Nguyen. 2010." Technologies of Bodily Governance", "Technologies of the Self" In <i>An Anthropology of Biomedicine</i>. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell. pp. 24-29. 	<p>VietNam</p>
---	---	-----------------------

<p>Environ- mental justice, concepts of person and "environ- ment" with urban and rural</p>	<p>HCC-6 Environmental justice and health</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jane Carruthers. "Energy, Environment, and Equity in South Africa" in <i>Environmental Justice</i>. Jun 2019. 112-117. http://doi.org/10.1089/env.2018.0027 • Ranganathan, M. and Bratman, E. (2019), From Urban Resilience to Abolitionist Climate Justice in Washington, DC. <i>Antipode</i>. <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Andreas Malm and Alf Hornborg, 2014, The geology of mankind? A critique of the Anthropocene narrative. <i>The Anthropocene Review</i> 201X, Vol XX(X) 1–8. • Walker, H. & Kavedžija, I. (2015). Values of happiness. <i>Hau: Journal of Ethnographic Theory</i>, 5(3), 1–18. • Barnes et al, 2013. "Contribution of anthropology to the study of climate change". <i>Nature Climate Change</i>, 3.6, pp.541-544 	<p>South Africa</p>
---	--	--------------------------------

<p>Linguistic analysis</p> <p>symbolic ways of thinking</p>	<p>HCC-6 Is Disease to Body as Patient is to Society?</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sontag, Susan. 1978. "Illness as Metaphor," in <i>Susan Sontag: Essays of the 1960s & 70s</i>. Edited by David Rieff. New York: Library of America. Robins, S., 2010. "Rights passages from" near death" to" new life": AIDS activism and treatment testimonies in South Africa" in <i>From revolution to rights in South Africa: Social movements, NGOs & popular politics after apartheid</i>. Boydell & Brewer. <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Martin, Emily. 1991. "'The Egg and the Sperm' How Science Has Constructed a Romance Based on Stereotypical Male-Female Roles," <i>Signs</i> 16(3): 485-501. Helman, C.G., 2007. CHPT 16 The AIDS Epidemic in <i>Culture, health and illness</i>. CRC Press 	<p>South Africa</p>
<p>Structural violence</p>	<p>HCC 7 Violence: Structural and Intimate</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kleinman, Arthur, Veena Das, and Margaret Lock (1997). Introduction. In Kleinman, Das, and Lock (eds.) <i>Social Suffering</i>. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, pp. ix-xxv. <p style="text-align: center;">and/or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moffett, H., 2006. "These women, they force us to rape them": Rape as Narrative of Social Control in Post-Apartheid South Africa." <i>Journal of Southern African Studies</i>, 32.1, pp.129-144. Dworkin, S.L. et al 2013. Impact of a gender-transformative HIV and antiviolenace program on gender ideologies and masculinities in two rural, South African communities. <i>Men and masculinities</i>, 16.2, pp.181-202. 	<p>South Africa</p>
<p>Inequity, Community</p>	<p>HCC-8 Health , Illness, Inequity</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ross, Fiona. 2010. "Ch. 7 Illness and accompaniment." In <i>Raw Life, New Hope. Decency, Housing and Everyday Life in a Post-Apartheid Community</i>. Cape Town: UCT Press. Pp. 168-203. *Focus: 168-184 	<p>South Africa</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Farmer, Paul. 2003. Ch. 1. On Suffering and Structural Violence. In <i>Pathologies of Power: Health, Human Rights and the New War on the Poor</i>. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 29-50. <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blake, R. 2015. PhD Dissertation. Chapter 6: Death by Defaulting Banerjee and Duflo. 2012. Low Hanging fruit for better (global) health. In <i>Poor economics</i>. pp 41-70 (Focus on pp.41-51) 	
Resistance, resilience, privilege, and politics	<p><u>HCC-9</u> Resistance: Structure vs. Agency</p> <p><u>Required Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seymour, S. 2006. "Resistance". <i>Anthropological Theory</i>, 6.3, pp. 303-321. Goodwin, J et al 2000. "The return of the repressed: The fall and rise of emotions in social movement theory". <i>Mobilization. An International Quarterly</i>, 51, pp.65-83 Mbembe, A. 2015. <i>The State of South African Political Life</i>. Van der Westhuizen, C. 2016. "Anti-democratic Element in Student Movements holds Warnings for South Africa" <i>The Conversation</i>. Albert, W. 2016 "Oh God, not more white women tears: A response to Christi van der Westhuizen" <i>Pebbles from Azania</i>. <p><u>Suggested Reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goodwin, J. et al 2009. <i>Passionate politics - Emotions and social movements</i>. University of Chicago Press Scheper-Hughes, Nancy (2008). <i>A talent for life: reflections on human vulnerability and resilience</i>. <i>Ethnos</i> 73(1): 25-56. 	South Africa
Public anthro, applied anthro, joy and advocacy	<p><u>HCC-10</u> Anthropology against Ignorance</p> <p><u>Required reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> May, V. 2015. "Epistemologies of Ignorance and Intersectionality". <i>Pursuing intersectionality, unsettling dominant imaginaries</i>. Routledge. Turner, E, 2012. "Communitas of Disaster". <i>Communitas: The anthropology of Collective Joy</i>. Springer. 	South Africa

	<p><u>Suggested reading</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Turner, E, 2012. "Introduction". <i>Communitas: The anthropology of Collective Joy</i>. Springer. 	
--	---	--

Assignments

Assignments (except for hard copy assignments) should be submitted via the course's Canvas site. Assignments are due the day assigned, uploaded to Canvas. Grades will be returned via Canvas as well.

Students may never use email to submit assignments, per SIT IT Policy.

PLEASE SUBMIT ALL ASSIGNMENTS AS A MICROSOFT WORD FILE to allow for in-document comments. All assignments are to be double spaced with one-inch margins in 11 Times New Roman font. The American Psychological Association (APA) referencing style is preferred.

When using APA format, follow the author-date method of in-text citation. This means that the author's last name and the year of publication for the source should appear in the text, for example, (Jones, 1998), and a complete reference should appear in the reference list at the end of the paper. If you are referring to an idea from another work but not directly quoting the material, or making reference to an entire book, article or other work, you only have to make reference to the author and year of publication and not the page number in your in-text reference. All sources that are cited in the text must appear in the reference list at the end of the paper.

Please include your name on every page of the assignment itself in the header, and the file name should have the following naming convention:

Name_Country_NameOfAssignment.doc
 e.g. Josslyn_South Africa_Site Report.doc

For hard copy assignments, you may be asked to submit the original. **KEEP A DIGITAL COPY OF ALL WORK YOU TURN IN ON YOUR COMPUTER**, so as to avoid unexpected disaster and significant inconvenience for all parties involved; this may mean scanning or photographing any hard copy assignments (and keeping a copy in .jpg or .pdf). Assignments that are not easily legible will be returned ungraded.

Deadlines / Point Breakdown*

*Topics, readings, and assignment details are subject to change, as deemed necessary by faculty.

Total			100%
Seminar Discussion Leadership			16 pts
2 times	A few days before assigned day	Preparatory discussion with faculty	4, 4
		Discussion leadership	4, 4
Reading Digests (used to build research proposal)			14 pts
	Due: HCC 2	Reading Digest due at class for discussion	2 pts (each)
	“ “ 3	“	
	“ “ 4	“	
	“ “ 5	“	
	“ “ 6	“	
	“ “ 8	“	
	“ “ 9	“	
Preliminary Site Reports			55 pts
VietNam	By end of the country cycle	Profile on VietNam (6 th week of VietNam)	20
S. Africa		Profile on South Africa (6 th week South Africa)	20
S. Africa		Compare VietNam and South Africa, propose questions for the US (Last week of the semester)	15
Research Proposal			15 pts
	End of Semester	Consult rubric and prompt	15



Seminar Discussion Leadership

Groups of 3-4 students will collaborate with the Instructor to help their peers discuss and think through potentially difficult questions in class. The Seminar Discussion Leadership exercise challenges students to explain abstract ideas **in their own voice**, facilitating peer learning and communication formats that comprise increasingly important skills in professional settings.

Objectives:

1. **Comprehension and evaluation:** Learn how to pick out key **theoretical** ideas but also apply those ideas to broader, meaningful, **practical** questions.
2. **Communication:** Practice communicating, in a safe space, complicated ideas or questions to a group of peers, both verbally and visually. These are skills that are increasingly important in an era of misinformation and multimedia outlets.
3. **Skill Building:** Practice working in a team, including the Instructor, toward covering material and exercising the **social and disciplinary skills** needed to refute, question, encourage, and support peers in a productive, competent way.

Format:

1. **Preparation (4pts):** It is the responsibility of Seminar Discussion leaders to:
 - plan the discussion content, coordinate individual efforts, assign duties/roles, etc.
 - schedule a meeting with the Instructor at least 2 days prior to class and to come prepared with a proposed discussion outline to develop together.
2. **Leading Class Discussion (4pts):**
 - **Briefing:** Present a **short** overview of the key takeaway points of the assigned readings, checking in to ensure that all colleagues agree with your synthesis or have further contributions. Discussion Leaders **must**:
 - identify specific passages or pages worth noting, paraphrasing their meaning
 - illustrate key points using visual representations of any kind. (Creativity will be rewarded. Ex., diagrams, graphs, etc.). **A visualization is required.**
 - **Prompting:** Initiate a wider discussion among the class, taking the necessary steps toward addressing the discussion prompt in the Schedule. Options include:
 - Elaboration: elicit examples from specific country experiences that illustrate key arguments
 - Imagination/Application: Offer hypothetical scenarios for peers to think about using key arguments, or how these arguments apply to experiences in home countries
 - Devil's advocacy: offer ideas from counter-arguments, or push colleagues to backup their claims



Preliminary Site Reports

Preliminary Site Reports challenge students to develop a working model about the relationship(s) at play between **health, culture, and community** in our country visits. As such, the Report brings together the two things driving this class: **theory** and **local reality**. Where the former provides a model for understanding and describing abstract relationships in the observed world, the latter grants a working knowledge of how these manifest in real situations. The final comparative Site Report will be a brief synthesis of the previous two, as well as ideas about what you would note if you were to do this exercise in the US. We will discuss this in class well in advance of the due date.

Objectives:

1. Synthesize and demonstrate understanding of 1-2 key **theories** explaining the relationship between **health, culture, and communities**.
2. Through comparison, analyze some aspect of health, culture, and community from the **local realities** encountered in the current and the previous country, as witnessed in site visits, lectures, homestays, etc.

Due: The day prior to RME Case Study presentations, or as indicated on the schedule, at POD.

Requirements: Reports will be **1400-1500** words in length. While you have substantial freedom to pick the theories and country examples you like. The idea is that the theoretical perspective you elaborate in part one, “HCC Dimension,” will underpin the comparative analysis that you attempt in part two, “Local Realities.” Thus, your HCC Site Report must integrate the following:

1. HCC Dimension (50%)
 - Summarizes clearly and accurately 1 or 2 closely related theoretical dimensions explored in the readings and Seminar Discussions. (E.g., medical pluralism or structural violence). **Hint:** refer to the syllabus concepts and headings of class sessions. (25%)
 - States explicitly what relationship this dimension draws between **health, culture, and/or community**. How well does it explain these connections? What are its limitations? (25%)
2. Local realities (50%)
 - Draws from your ethnographic research and compares clearly and vividly a real-world reality between the present country and the previous one. This real life situation can be as specific as you like; OR as general as you like. (25%)
 - Evaluates how these local realities can reveal or suggest new ideas about your chosen theoretical framework or about health and healing broadly. Can the issue from one country be helpful (or misleading) when thinking about reality in another? How so? (25%)

Hint: Your evaluation does not have to compare the same issue, so long as they are comparable through theory. For example you can compare TB in VietNam to TB in South Africa **or** you could compare TB in VietNam with HIV in South Africa, identifying them as both implicated in structural suffering and poverty.

Grading Rubric

Requirement	Incomplete	Disagree	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Strongly Agree
(score)	0	6 – 6.9	7 – 7.9	8 – 8.9	9 – 10
Discusses with accuracy and clarity 1-2 theoretical frameworks discussed in the course.					
Explains how this theoretical framework articulates health, culture, and/or community and evaluates its strengths/limitations.					
Specifies and vividly describes an issue that is particular to each country.					
Evaluates and synthesizes potential new insights gained by comparing country-specific issue(s).*					
			Total Score (14pts)		/40



Reading Digests

Practical outcomes in medical practice or policy advocacy do not always mean reinventing the wheel. In fact, there is a broad literature theorizing the linkages between health, culture, and communication. For these ideas to be helpful across different cultural contexts, we must not only have an accurate understanding of their underlying **arguments**, but also a critical stance on their **applicability** across situations. ***Seminar Discussion Leaders need not submit a Reading Digest for the day they are leading.**

Objective: Practice critical reading skills, **interpreting** readings and **evaluating** their merits/weaknesses, and reflecting on their **broader implications** with respect to health, culture, and communication, and their relation to one another. These elements are essential theoretical components of any research proposal.

Due: In the class in which the topic will be discussed, as indicated on the schedule.

Requirements: Each section on the Readings Digest form should be about 150-200 words / 4-5 sentences. This will build a set of resources for your research proposal.

Format:

1. **Read:** Carefully examine the assigned readings, identifying and noting on the Readings Digest sheet what you think are:
 1. **Key Points** each author is trying to make,
 2. **Strengths/Weaknesses** of their arguments
 3. **Collective** contributions these readings make to understanding broader patterns in health, culture, and community and the interconnections linking them
 4. **Import** to possible research proposal development (where would this information fit in that process?)
 5. **Applications** that you think these particular arguments and broader theories offer to better understanding your **Case Study** or other research topics.
2. **Class Discussion:**
 1. Submit your sheet to the Instructor for a spot-check grade.
 2. Contribute these reflections to the discussion in class.
 3. Amend any final thoughts or comments on your forms as they emerge from class discussion and submit to the Instructor.

HCC Dimensions	Reading Digest	HCC: Grade: / I
<p>Key Points</p> <p style="text-align: center;">What are the main arguments the authors make about their particular case study?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(in research proposals: Literature Review)</p>		
<p>Evaluation of Key Points</p> <p>Analyze the Supporting Evidence</p> <p style="text-align: center;">What data/examples do the authors give in support of their arguments? How did the use of this support to advance their argument?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(in research proposals: Literature Review)</p> <p>Connections</p> <p style="text-align: center;">What aspects of this were compelling to you given your experiences thus far in the field program and classes. How and why?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(in research proposals: Literature Review)</p>		

Interpretation of Big Picture

What overall relationship or pattern do these readings reveal about health, culture, and/or community?

Hint: This should be 1 or 2 generalizations about all the readings together (in research proposals: Theoretical Contributions/Context/Background)

Practical Implications

How can you use this to address your own Case Study?

How would you apply this insight to other situations?

(in research proposals: Hypothesis)



Research Proposal

****This assignment is shared in both the Research Methods course and the Health, Culture, and Community course (the assignment outline here is replicated on that syllabus too).***

Grade: 15pts in RME, 15pts in HCC.

Due: On day after Final Case Study Presentation

Guidelines:

Identify a health and/or public health-related issue that you feel would warrant further research, drawing on what you now learned about that issue and what you understand to be the current state of knowledge about the issue. You are not expected to be a world-leading expert overnight. Rather, you are expected to work intelligently with what you have had access to over the course of your 4 country stays. **In short, ask an interesting Research Question given your exploratory research.**

This is to be presented as a single document even though grade points will be evenly awarded between the two courses. You may refer to any and as many of the **theoretical dimensions** explored in class. Your proposal should:

1. Be about 1200-1500 words long, minimum.
2. Specify the topic and location(s) of the proposed research
3. Includes the following:
 - a. Ethnographic description (10%): Specifies and vividly describes an issue that is particular to your proposed fieldsite.
 - b. Theoretical framework (20%): Discusses with accuracy and clarity 1-2 theoretical approaches used to frame your research question.
 - c. Research Methodology (50%): Specifies
 - i. the research question;
 - ii. the techniques proposed for collecting data;
 - iii. your justification for these techniques;
 - iv. and disclosure of their strengths / weaknesses
 - d. Ethical considerations (10%): outlines the kinds of ethical issues the proposed research presents and offers ways of addressing them.
 - e. Comparative Method (10%): Suggests applicability of research findings to other fields of study and/or to other geographical contexts.



Research Proposal

Rubric

Name: _____

Requirement	Incomplete	Disagree	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Strongly Agree
(score)	0	6 – 6.9	7 – 7.9	8 – 8.9	9 – 10
<u>Ethnography</u> Specifies and vividly describes an issue that is particular to your proposed fieldsite. (10%)					
<u>Anthrop. Theory</u> Discusses with accuracy and clarity 1-2 theoretical approaches used to frame your research question. (20%)					
<u>Research Methods</u> Specifies (1) the research question; (2) the techniques proposed for collecting data; (3) your justification for these techniques; (4) and disclosure of their strengths / weaknesses (50%)					

Requirement	Incomplete	Disagree	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Strongly Agree
(score)	0	6 – 6.9	7 – 7.9	8 – 8.9	9 – 10
<u>Ethics</u> Considers the kinds of ethical issues the proposed research presents and offers ways of addressing them. (10%)					
<u>Comparative Method</u> Suggests applicability of research findings to other fields of study and/or to other geographical contexts. (10%)					
			Total Score (15pts per class)		

Expectations and Policies

Class preparation

This program is built upon the conviction that experiences result in deep insights and powerful learning. Course assignments are created to facilitate such first-hand learning opportunities. Dialogue in class about these insights and participation in these activities is critical. For this reason, your participation is required. As a learning community, each one of us influences the learning environment. Please take responsibility for your role in this environment and come to class prepared and ready to engage with others in a positive and thought-provoking manner.

Technology in the classroom

Electronic devices are critical tools for learning and communication, but our IHP courses prioritize engaged conversations unhindered by personal electronic devices. *Students, faculty, and visitors are expected to keep cell phones, laptop computers, and other devices out of sight, sound, and mind during class sessions.* There will be times when technology is needed for presentations or projects. Faculty will advise students of these times. Of course, students with accommodations are always welcome to have the technology needed.

Participation

IHP is an experiential learning program. You have to show up to have the experience. As such, participation is a minimum expectation, not generally to be rewarded with class credit. Students are expected to attend all classes, guest lectures, and field activities unless they have a medical excuse that has been communicated and approved of by IHP staff or faculty. *Missing one class means a small makeup assignment (as determined by the faculty); missing two classes means a sizable makeup assignment; missing three classes means a grade reduction of 2% of the total course grade.* Failure to attend classes or field activities means that a student may not be eligible for credit from their universities, or could result in program dismissal.

Materials

All course readings will be electronically available from the first week. Hard copy of required course readings will be distributed once you arrive in each program site. Students are responsible for downloading these materials and can print the optional readings at their own discretion. You may opt in or out of getting hard copies.

Policy on deadlines

Unless otherwise noted, coursework assignments are due on the day of the deadlines via Moodle (or on paper for a few assignments). Unexcused late work will result in a lower grade one full level per day (for example, a B will drop to a B-). No exceptions will be permitted; extensions are not given unless there are necessary circumstances. Exact deadlines for assignments will be confirmed by the instructor and provided to students at the start of each program site.

Keep an additional copy of all work you turn in, so as to avoid unexpected disaster and significant inconvenience for all parties involved; this may mean taking photos or scanning any handwritten assignments. Assignments that are not easily legible will be returned ungraded.

Academic integrity

Academic dishonesty is the failure to maintain academic integrity. It includes, but is not limited to, obtaining or giving unauthorized aid on an examination, having unauthorized prior knowledge of the content of an examination, doing work for another student, having work done by another person for

the student, and plagiarism. Academic dishonesty can result in severe academic penalty, including failure of the course and/or dismissal from the institution/program.

Plagiarism is the presentation of another person's ideas or product as one's own. Examples of plagiarism are: copying verbatim and without attribution all or parts of another's written work; using phrases, charts, figures, illustrations, computer programs, websites without citing the source; paraphrasing ideas, conclusions or research without citing the source; and using all or part of a literary plot, poem, film, musical score, computer program, websites or other artistic product without attributing the work to its creator.

Students can avoid unintentional plagiarism by carefully following accepted scholarly practices. Notes taken for papers and research projects should accurately record sources of material to be cited, quoted, paraphrased or summarized, and research or critical papers should acknowledge these sources in references or by use of footnotes.

Violations of SIT Study Abroad academic integrity policy are handled as violations of the student code of conduct and will result in disciplinary action. Please discuss this with your Program Director or faculty if you have questions.

SIT Policies and Resources

Please refer to the [SIT Study Abroad Handbook](#) and the [Policies](#) section of the SIT website for all academic and student affairs policies. Students are accountable for complying with all published policies. Of particular relevance to this course are the policies regarding: academic integrity, research and ethics in field study and internships, late assignments, academic status, academic appeals, diversity and disability, sexual harassment and misconduct, and the student code of conduct.

Please refer to the SIT Study Abroad Handbook and SIT website for information on important resources and services provided through our central administration in Vermont, such as [Library resources and research support](#), [Disability Services](#), [Counseling Services](#), [Title IX information](#), and [Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion](#) resources.